

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPL	LICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
• ′	107	25/96 KEN		29025201660
-	THOMAS E CIOTTI	^{@M31/0831} ¬		AMINER , W
	MORRISON & FOERS 755 PAGE MILL RO	AD OF	ART UNIT	
	PALO ALTO CA 943	04-1018	37	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	08/31/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/736,896

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit

William Lewis

oup Art Unit **3731**

Ken et al.



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 30, 1998</u>	<u>.</u> .
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1, 3, and 4	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1, 3, and 4	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims a	
 ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority ereceived. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the Internal 	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). iority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	
oxed Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	WILLIAM LEWIS PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3300

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Serial Number: 08/736,896

Art Unit: 3309

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed on 6-30-98 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37

CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/736,896 is acceptable and a CPA has been

established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinke

et al. (German Patent 3,203,410) in view of Mariant et al. (US Patent 5,639,277). Heinke et al.

disclose an coil (1) having proximal and distal ends that are radially inward relative the center

section. However, Heinke et al. do not disclose the detachable ends. Mariant et al. teach of this

element (see figure 14) in the same field of endedavor for the purpose of allowing proper

placement of the coil. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the

ivention to have placed the attachment means taught by Mariant at al. onto the Heinke et al.

device in order to allow proper and controlled placement of the coil.

Serial Number: 08/736,896

Art Unit: 3309

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 308-0060.

WL August 30, 1998 WILLIAM LEWIS
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3300